



AQUIND Limited

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

Environmental Statement Addendum 3 – Appendix 5.15 Updated Appendix 27.3 Waste and Material Resources Cumulative Effects Assessment Matrix (Stage 1 & 2)

The Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations
2009 – Regulation 5(2)(a)

The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

Document Ref: 7.8.3.25

PINS Ref.: EN020022

AQUIND Limited

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

Environmental Statement Addendum 3 –
Appendix 5.15 Updated Appendix 27.3 Waste
and Material Resources Cumulative Effects
Assessment Matrix (Stage 1 & 2)

PINS REF.: EN020022

DOCUMENT: 7.8.3.25

DATE: 28 APRIL 2023

WSP

WSP House

70 Chancery Lane

London

WC2A 1AF

+44 20 7314 5000

www.wsp.com

CONTENTS

APPENDIX 5.15 WASTE AND MATERIAL RESOURCES CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ASSESSMENT MATRIX (STAGE 1 & 2)	1
1.1. INTRODUCTION	1
REFERENCES	103

TABLES

Table 1 - Stage 1 & 2 CEA Matrix for Waste and Material Resources	3
------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

APPENDIX 5.15 WASTE AND MATERIAL RESOURCES CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ASSESSMENT MATRIX (STAGE 1 & 2)

1.1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1.1. This document should be read in conjunction with Chapter 29 (Cumulative Effects) of the Environmental Statement ('ES') Volume 1 (document reference 6.1.29), Chapter 27 (Waste and Material Resources) of the ES Volume 1 (document reference 6.1.27) and Appendix 27.4 (Cumulative Effects Assessment Stage 3 & 4) of the ES Volume 3 (document reference 6.3.27.4).
- 1.1.1.2. Further to the review of cumulative projects and assessments undertaken in May 2020 which also identified and assessed additional projects submitted (Section 20.2 of 7.8.1 ES Addendum), this list below has been updated in March 2023 and the following updates to Stage 1 and 2 assessments are presented in Table 1.
- 1.1.1.3. Projects that are no longer in the datasets where applications have been withdrawn have strikethroughs in the matrix and are coloured **orange**. In addition, projects where construction is complete have strikethroughs and are coloured in **red** as the revised construction programme for the Proposed Development is 2024-2027 and therefore, those projects will be completed prior to construction works for the Proposed Development having begun. Where necessary, schemes which have been constructed have been identified as new receptors, presented in Appendix 5.2 (document reference: 7.8.3.12).
- 1.1.1.4. New projects that have come forward have been included within the matrix below and are illustrated in Figures 5.1, Appendix 5.17 of ES Addendum 3 (document reference 7.8.3.28). New projects were included where the works fell within the Zones of Influence and were of a nature and scale to be considered for cumulative assessment. In addition, where project updates are available these have been taken into account and included below. These projects and/or updates are coloured in **green**.

- 1.1.1.5. Furthermore, as part of this review additional clarity has been provided regarding a series of development which were classified as “construction complete” as part of the 2019 ES. It was considered that construction of these scheme would have been complete prior to the commencement of construction for the Proposed Development and cumulative effects were therefore not anticipated to arise. These schemes were considered as baseline receptors as part of the 2019 ES assessment. Updated text in this regard is also coloured in **green** for completeness.
- 1.1.1.6. The Cumulative Effects Assessment (‘CEA’) for the Proposed Development follows the recommended approach as detailed by the Planning Inspectorate (‘PINS’) in PINS Advice Note Seventeen (Planning Inspectorate, 2019). This document summarises the first stages of the CEA approach which include:
- Stage 1 – Establish a Zone of Influence (‘ZOI’) for each environmental discipline and identify long list of ‘other developments’; and
 - Stage 2 – Identify a shortlist of ‘other developments’.
- 1.1.1.7. In order to screen projects for the CEA relating to waste and material resources, the following threshold criteria has been applied:
- The ZOI for other developments has been identified as within the Order Limits.
 - The scale and nature of other developments: projects greater than 0.5 ha, used as a threshold for likely significant effects in Schedule 2 of the EIA Regulations. However, it is also acknowledged that some projects under this threshold may give rise to cumulative effects, so projects within 100 m of the Order Limits are included due to their proximity to the Proposed Development.
 - Temporal scope: construction would need to fall within 1 year of the construction of the Proposed Development for cumulative construction effects to need to be considered.
- 1.1.1.8. Table 1 lists the long and short list of developments for consideration as part of the CEA for the Proposed Development.

Table 1 - Stage 1 & 2 CEA Matrix for Waste and Material Resources

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS CONSIDERED IN THE 2019 ES (DOCUMENT REFERENCE 6.3.27.3):											
4	Land rear of 185-189A Lovedean Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville (54596/001)	Outline application with some matters reserved for 40 residential dwellings (mix of 1, 2, 3, and 4 bed) with associated amenity space and road network with access from Lovedean Lane via existing access	0.69 km to east of the Order Limits	Granted Outline (15/09/2014)	Tier 1	No	Yes	Construction commenced March 2017. Construction likely to be completed by the start of construction works.	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of residential dwellings and road network is due to be completed before start of construction work on the Proposed Development, therefore no significant cumulative effects during construction are anticipated. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
2	Land rear of, 179-189A Lovedean Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville (54596/002)	Reserved matters application pursuant to 54596/001 for dwellings and discharge of condition 7 of 54596/001 as revised by plans and details received on 3 March 16	0.69 km to east of the Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (29/04/2018)	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but unlikely overlap of construction programmes.	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of residential dwellings is due to be completed before start of construction work on the Proposed Development, therefore no significant cumulative effects during construction are anticipated. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
3	Development land east of Horndean, Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, Waterlooville (55562/001)	<p>Outline planning application with all matters reserved (except for access to the highway network and associated off-site highway improvements) for the demolition of existing buildings and the development of a maximum of 700 dwellings, approximately 1.7 Ha of employment land, a Local Centre (including local retail, a primary school and community facilities), a Care Village, playing pitches, a cricket pavilion (including associated access and parking), allotments (including associated building and car parking), acoustic bunds and ecological buffers together with internal access network (including footpaths and cycleways), drainage works, associated landscaping and open space (including play areas).</p> <p>Under the current programme, it is expected that construction will take</p>	2.52 km east of the Order Limits	<p>Granted Outline (05/02/2016)</p> <p>Site bought by Bloor Homes who submitted request for a new Scoping Opinion in August 2018 (55562/004)</p> <p>Consent lapsed. A number of new outline applications submitted at the site (ID 3a, 3c, 3d and 3e). Application 3b has been withdrawn since the 2020 ES Addendum.</p>	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	<p>No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction.</p> <p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided and community buildings apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>The construction of residential dwellings and associated community buildings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types for are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings and community buildings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development.</p>	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		place between 2016 and 2020.								Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
4	Former Purbrook Park Playing Fields, Stakes Road, Waterlooville (APP/12/002 05)	Construction of 76 No. dwellings consisting of 3 No. 2 bed, 38 No. 3 bed, 23 No. 4 bed houses and 12 No. 2 bed flats with associated parking, landscaping including open space and play area, and pumping station. New vehicular access to Stakes Road and new pedestrian access to Stakes Hill Road.	0.96 km East of the Order Limits	Granted Full (03/08/2012) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling and associated infrastructure may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity (predominantly through the generation of municipal waste), but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										residential dwellings and associated infrastructure.	
5	Purbrook School Former Playing Fields, Stakes Road, Waterlooville APP/16/00347	Erection of 26 residential units with associated works, access parking and landscaping.	0.96 km East of the Order Limit	Granted Full (13/01/2017) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.		No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided and the associated works apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings and works may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential units and works.	No
6	Purbrook Park School, Park Avenue, Waterlooville, PO7 5DS (APP/14/00687)	Construction of new two storey school building (Block A), two storey school building to courtyard (Block B), refurbishment to Block D, raised covered walkways, new pedestrian access to	0.42km to the east of the Order Limits	Granted Full (16/04/2014) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the school may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		main entrance and new Block A, altered and additional car parking, landscaping and other works. Demolition of two storey Caretakers house Works now completed.		receptors in the 2019 ES.					that the school applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the new school buildings and associated infrastructure.	
7	108 London Road, Widley, Waterlooville, PO7 5AA (APP/17/010 09)	Subdivision of plot to provide a further 2 bedroom dwelling with access from London Road.	Western boundary adjacent (0.18km) to the Order Limits	Granted Full (08/01/2018) Construction not yet started.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
8	Land at 38-44 London Road, Purbrook (APP/17/01141)	Construction of 43 retirement apartments for older persons including communal facilities, parking, associated landscaping with access from Stakes Road and 2 commercial/residential units fronting London Road.	Western boundary adjacent (0.40km) to the Order Limits.	Granted Full (21/12/2017) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of residential apartments may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource use during operation is considered to be limited to minor repair and maintenance works.	are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential apartments may increase the sensitivity of landfill, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the retirement apartments.	
9	Woodcroft Farm Development Site, Woodcroft Lane, Waterlooville (APP/13/008 04)	Development of 288 residential units, retention of existing farmhouse, new access road from Eagle Avenue	0.79 km to south-east of Order Limits	Granted Full (05/05/2015) Under construction Phase 1 infrastructure works consisting of bridleway improvement	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects	The construction of residential dwellings and access road may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				<p>s were completed in 2017.</p> <p>Phase 2A and 2B complete.</p> <p>Phase 3 under construction</p>					<p>anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. However, where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings and access road.</p>	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
10	Waterlooville Swimming Pool, Waterberry Drive, Waterlooville, PO7 7UW (APP/17/002 95)	Hybrid Application: Full planning permission for reconfiguration of existing car park and development of single storey deck car parking. Outline planning permission for future extension on current footprint of overflow carpark at Waterlooville Leisure Centre for access and layout with all other matters reserved.	Northern boundary adjacent (0.40km) to the Order Limits	Granted Full / Outline (01/07/2017) Construction not started.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from a car park, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.	The construction of the car park may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types, where similar, are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the car park and the proposed development and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the car park.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
11	Former BAE Systems, Waterloo Park, Elettra Avenue, Waterlooville (APP/18/01072)	Outline planning application with all matters, apart from access, reserved for development of office, storage, industrial, hotel, leisure and restaurant uses.	Eastern boundary adjacent to the Order Limits	Registered and awaiting decision Granted Full (15/10/21) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that commercial recycling facilities are provided and the Waste Hierarchy applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of mixed development may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the mixed development may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of commercial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										considered to have a cumulative impact on the mixed development.	
12	Coastline between Ports Creek Railway Bridge and Kendall’s Wharf, Portsmouth, PO3 5LY (14/01387/FUL)	Construction of new coastal defences consisting of raised earth embankments with rock armour on the seaward side, together with wave walls to abut the A2030 Eastern Road bridge to tie into the new embankments (along the alignment of the existing coastal defences), and associated landscaped works including a shared footpath constructed along the full length of the new embankment.	Boundary adjacent to the Order Limits	Granted Full (13/02/2015) Under construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Construction overlap unlikely	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from coastal defences, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.	The construction of the coastal defence is due to be completed before start of construction work on the Proposed Development, therefore no significant cumulative effects during construction are anticipated. Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the coastal defence and the proposed development and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material. At this time, the availability of information on the source of rock armour materials required for the ‘other coastal developments’ is not sufficient to permit an assessment of cumulative	Yes

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										impacts. This does not change the status of the post-mitigation significant effect described in this chapter.	
13	Coastal Defences Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Southsea, PO4 9LJ (16/00255/FUL)	Replacement of existing coastal sea defences with rock revetment.	0.47 km to east of the Order Limits	Granted Full (22/06/2016) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	Tier 1	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from coastal defences, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the coastal defence and the proposed development and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material. At this time, the availability of information on the source of rock armour materials required for the ‘other coastal developments’ is not sufficient to permit an assessment of cumulative impacts. This does not change the status of the post-mitigation significant	No due to the coastal defence scheme being outside the ZOI and no change anticipated to the status of the post-mitigation significant effect.

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										effect described in this chapter.	
14	West Wing St. Marys Hospital Milton Road Portsmouth PO3 6AD 11/00250/OUT	Construction of 2 and 3 storey buildings comprising 191 dwellings and a 2 storey 60 bed care home with associated estate roads/parking areas/open space and landscaping after demolition of existing buildings. Outline with all matters reserved.	0.57 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Outline (29/03/2012) Granted Conditional Outline (29/03/2012) 13/01120/REM for 191 dwellings and care home approved (15/02/2014). Complete 14/01121/REM for care home approved (19/11/2014). Complete	Tier 1	No	Yes		No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided and community buildings apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings and care home may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
15	Tesco Fratton Way, Southsea, PO4 8FA (14/00128/FUL)	Construction of a Retail Store (Use Class A1) of up to 10,475sqm GEA, Petrol Filling Station (Sui Generis) with an associated kiosk up to 86sqm GEA, canopy and jet wash, new access/egress arrangements, car parking including replacement Stadium car parking, service yard, highway and footpath works, landscaping, and other associated works (after demolition of existing structures).	0.70 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (19/02/2014) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that commercial recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the retail store may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of commercial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the retail store.	No
16	Former Kingston Prison, Milton Road, Portsmouth, PO3 6AS	Redevelopment of former prison comprising: part demolition and conversion of listed buildings to provide 73 dwellings and commercial	0.69 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (02/02/2017) Construction not yet started.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource	The construction of residential dwellings and commercial unit may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
16/00085/FUL		<p>unit (within Class A1 or Class A3); demolition of non-listed structures; construction of five blocks of between three and seven stories to provide 157 dwellings; part demolition of listed prison wall and formation of new vehicular accesses to Milton Road and St Marys Road; and provision of car parking and associated landscaping and other works.</p> <p>Construction not yet started.</p>		<p>Amended proposals submitted granted 29/08/19) (ref. 18/01868/FUL)</p> <p>Under construction</p>					<p>consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction.</p> <p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided and commercial unit applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types for are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings and commercial unit may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a</p>	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										cumulative impact on the residential dwellings or commercial unit.	
17	Voyager Park, Portfield Road, Portsmouth, PO3 5FJ (11/00822/VOC as varied by 12/00159/VOC)	Development of site for offices/industry/warehousing/distribution (Classes B1/B2 and B8) (Outline) with variation to condition 17 of planning permission 11/00822/VOC to allow the construction of up to 40,000sqm gross floorspace.	1.07 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Outline (04/04/2012) Numerous Reserved Matters applications submitted for individual units. Largely constructed.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unlikely construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption the Waste Hierarchy is applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the mixed-use site is due to be completed before start of construction work on the Proposed Development, therefore no significant cumulative effects during construction are anticipated. Operational waste generated by the mixed-use site may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of commercial or industrial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the mixed-use site.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
18	Milton Common, Eastern Road, Portsmouth (15/01769/FUL)	Construction of new coastal defences consisting of a rock revetment along the seaward side of Milton Common and three earth bunds on Milton Common together with the demolition of Great Salterns Quay and associated landscaping works.	Adjacent to Order Limits	Granted Full (04/02/2016) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from coastal defences, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the coastal defence and the Proposed Development and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material. At this time, the availability of information on the source of rock armour materials required for the ‘other coastal developments’ is not sufficient to permit an assessment of cumulative impacts. This does not change the status of the post-mitigation significant effect described in this chapter.	Yes
19	Land adjacent to 291 Locksway Road, Southsea	Construction of three-storey building to form three flats with associated parking, cycle and refuse storage	Within Order Limits	Granted Full (23/10/2015) Under construction	Tier-1	Yes	Yes	Unlikely construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource	The construction of residential dwellings is due to be completed before start of construction work on the Proposed Development, therefore no	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	(15/01330/FU L)								consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	significant cumulative effects during construction are anticipated. Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
20	Land-adj-1A Eveleigh Road, Portsmouth, PO6 1DH 16/01588/FU L	Construction of new two storey dwelling	Western boundary of site directly adjacent the Order Limits	Granted Full (24/11/2016) Construction not yet started	Tier-1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the residential dwelling may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy.	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwelling.	
21	Portsmouth Park Hotel, Eastern Road, Portsmouth, PO6 1UN (16/00522/FUL)	Construction of 2 single storey buildings to form restaurant / takeaway with drive-thru (Use Class A3/A5) and coffee shop / café (Use Class A1/A3) with drive-thru with associated car parking and landscaping and	Within the Order Limits.	Granted Full (31/08/2016) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	Yes	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the	The construction of restaurant may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		alterations to existing hotel car park and circulation and realignment of existing access roads							Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption the restaurant facilities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types for are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the restaurant may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the restaurant.	
22	Little Brandon, Portsdown Hill Road, Portsmouth, PO6 1BE (18/00053/FUL)	Construction of five-bedroom dwelling house	Southern Boundary of site directly adjacent (0.04 km) to Order Limits	Granted Full (21/03/2018) Construction not yet started. Under construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and	The construction of the residential dwelling may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									<p>application of the Waste Hierarchy.</p> <p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwelling.</p>	
23	Former Dairy Site, Station Road, Portsmouth, PO6 1PL (17/00224/O UT)	Outline application for the construction of up to 108 dwellings (principle of access only to be considered).	0.2 km to north-west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (22/03/2018) Several reserved matters and discharge of	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				condition applications have been submitted, the latest was 12/10/22. Largely constructed					consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
24	Kendalls Wharf, Eastern Road,	Construction of 50m quay wall as a continuation of the existing quay wall and provision of rock armouring at northern	0.04 km east of the Order Limits	To be decided (Determination period)	Tier-1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of	The construction of the quay wall may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that	No due to the coastal defence scheme

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	Portsmouth, PO3 5LY 17/01676/FUL	end to form a revetment; and construction of a 4m by 4m dolphin structure with linking walkway 25m south of existing quay. Works are expected to take 3 to 4 months to complete. Works would aim to commence on 1 April. Dredging works are proposed to be undertaken during late May or early June.		expired (27/11/2017) Withdrawn (31/05/21)					primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from coastal defences, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.	both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated. Construction material types may require similar materials, specifically in relation to rock armouring of the quay wall and marine cable route of the Proposed Development. At this time, the availability of information on the source of rock armour materials required for the ‘other coastal developments’ is not sufficient to permit an assessment of cumulative impacts. This does not change the status of the post-mitigation significant effect described in this chapter. Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the coastal defence and the proposed development and no significant cumulative	being outside the ZOI and no change anticipated to the status of the post-mitigation significant effect.

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										effects are anticipated. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material. At this time, the availability of information on the source of rock armour materials required for the ‘other coastal developments’ is not sufficient to permit an assessment of cumulative impacts. This does not change the status of the post-mitigation significant effect described in this chapter.	
25	Langstone Harbour Sports Ground, Eastern Road, Portsmouth (17/00182/FUL)	Construction of club house (on land adjacent to football pitch)	Within the Order Limits	Granted Full (03/07/2017) Under construction. Anticipated to be near completion.	Tier 1	Yes	Yes	Unlikely construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based	The construction of the club house is due to be completed before start of construction work on the Proposed Development, therefore no significant cumulative effects during construction are anticipated. Operational waste generated by the club house may increase the sensitivity of landfill	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the club house.	
26	170 Milton Road, Portsmouth, PO4 8PN (17/01097/FUL)	Construction of 3-storey building to form 9 flats with associated parking, refuse/cycle stores and landscaping, following demolition of existing building	0.7 km south-west of Order Limits	Granted Full (25/06/2018) Construction not yet started	Tier-1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types for are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	capacity, but no significant waste-generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
27	Land to north of Harbourside Holiday and Lodge Park, Eastern Road, Portsmouth, PO3 6QB (18/01182/FUL)	Change of use of enclosed area of unused land to form an extension to the existing Harbourside Holiday Park adjoining to the south	Eastern boundary directly adjacent to the Order Limits	To be decided (Determination period expired 24/09/2018) Granted conditional approval (07/01/22) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during	The extension of the holiday park may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types for are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the holiday park may increase the sensitivity of landfill	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the holiday park.	
28	St James Hospital, Locksway Road, Southsea, PO4 8HW (18/00288/O UT)	Outline application for the construction of 107 dwellings including provision of vehicular and pedestrian access, public open space and hard and soft landscaping Construction of 4 years anticipated, commencing in 2018 and completing in 2021.	Adjacent (0.02 km) to north-west boundary of the Order Limits	To be decided (Determination period expired 31/12/2018)	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
29	Admiral Lord Nelson School, Dundas Lane, Portsmouth, PO3 5XT (18/01891/FU L)	Construction of single storey front extension to include 10 additional classrooms and new sports pitches	0.34 km west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (07/06/2019)	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided and the associated school	The construction of the school extension may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects Operational waste generated by the school extension may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of commercial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the school.	
30	Unit 5, Interchange Park, Robinson Way, Portsmouth, PO3 5QD (18/01027/FU L)	Construction of building of 3004 sqm (GEA) for use within light or general industrial purposes (B1 or B2) or storage and distribution (B8)	0.17 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (01/04/2019) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the industrial units may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the industrial activities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the industrial units may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of commercial or industrial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the industrial units.	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
31	Self-Drive Depot, Airport Service Road, Portsmouth, PO3 5PW (18/01050/FUL)	Construction of After Sales Centre (B2) comprising 18-bay workshop/MOT centre, reception area, service drive-in and associated development	0.19 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (22/11/2018) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the commercial activities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the after-sales centre may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the after sales centre may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of commercial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										cumulative impact on the after-sales centre.	
32	Southsea Leisure Park, Melville Road, Southsea, PO4 9TB (17/00710/PL AREG)	Retrospective application for the construction of a wall and widening of an existing pathway.	Partially within the Order Limits	Granted Full (08/09/2017) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	Yes	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that no waste will be generated from the wall, and material resource consumption will be required only for minor repair and maintenance works.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational waste generation is anticipated by the wall or Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the wall.	
33	Cliff House, Dayton Lane, Portsmouth, PO6 1BS (18/01620/FUL)	Construction of two-storey, three-bedroom detached chalet bungalow. Construction of carport and extensions to Cliff House.	0.03 km south of the Order Limits	Granted Full (20/12/2018) Construction not yet started	Tier-1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the residential dwelling and car port may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									<p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwelling.</p>	
34	81 Solent Road,	Construction of two dwelling houses following demolition of existing.	0.01 km west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (21/12/2018)	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible	No significant effects anticipated during construction	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	Portsmouth, PO6 1HJ (18/01618/FUL)			Construction not yet started				construction overlap	given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
35	142 Milton Road, Portsmouth, PO4 8PN (18/02089/FUL)	Construction of 4 storey residential block to form 12 flats.	0.62 km south-west of Order Limits	To be decided (determination period expired 08/02/2019) Granted Full (30/04/20) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
36	Land Bounded by Tanners Lane, Kidmore Lane and Anmore Road, Denmead (17/00335/FUL)	Erection of 91 residential units, associated public open space, residents car park, landscaping, access, car parking, partial realignment of road junction and associated works (resubmission). 2-year construction programme anticipated.	0.41 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (03/07/2018) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the Waste Hierarchy is applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential units and associated infrastructure may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types, where similar, are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects Operational waste generated by the units may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										waste-generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential units and associated infrastructure.	
37	Land to rear of 32-36 Mill Road, Denmead, PO7 6PA (16/01861/FUL)	3 new dwellings	0.03 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (10/11/2016) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
38	Denmead Baptist Church, 51 Anmore Road, Denmead, PO7 6NW (15/02566/FUL)	Construction of 10 dwellings together with associated access, car parking, refuse and cycle storage following demolition of Denmead Baptist Church	0.27 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (08/06/2016) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									rise to a significant effect.	exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
39	Land to the North of The Gables and West of Closewood Road, Closewood Road, Denmead (15/02448/FUL)	Construction of stables and menage.	0.03 km south-west of Order Limits	Granted Full (10/02/2016) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the Waste Hierarchy is applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the stables and menage may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the stables and menage.	No
40	121 Anmore Road, Denmead, Waterlooville, PO7 6NX	Redevelopment comprising change of use from farmstead (C3/sui-generis) to childrens care home (C2) incorporating replacement, renovations and erection of	Within the Order Limits.	Granted Full (21/05/2015) Construction complete – these sites	n/a	Yes	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the children’s care home may increase	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	(14/00890/FUL)	replacement farmhouse and barn, retention of paddocks, improvement to vehicular access, car parking, landscaping and associated works		were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.					anticipated based on the assumption that the Waste Hierarchy is applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the children’s care home.	
41	Taylor Wimpey Site – Land at Old Park Farm, South of Hambledon Road, Waterlooville (05/40000 and 05/00500/OUT)	Outline application for development of land for residential (450 units), live / work (24 units), employment (7.1 ha including B1, B2, B8 and a Household Waste Recycling Centre), mixed use including retail, food & drink, financial/professional & health, open space / recreation purposes and the construction of two accesses.	Directly adjacent to Order Limits	Granted Full (04/01/2008) Development largely complete, expected to be finished 2018/2019 Several REM, NMA, and VOC applications submitted. Phase R1, R2, R3, and R4 complete, Phase E2	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unlikely for construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided for the residential dwellings and the industrial	The construction of mixed use site is due to be completed before start of construction work on the Proposed Development, therefore no significant cumulative effects during construction are anticipated. Operational waste generated by the mixed use site may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal, commercial or industrial waste, but no significant waste generation is	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				(employment) not yet started					and commercial activities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the mix used development.	
42	Land at Old Park Farm, Hambledon Road, Waterlooville (08/40000/003 and 08/00350/REM)	First Phase of Residential Development – 110 dwellings	Directly adjacent to Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (08/04/2009) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
43	Grainger Development Site Land West of London Road, Waterlooville / Newlands Phase 1 Hambledon Road, Denmead, Hampshire (APP/10/008 28 and 10/02862/OUT)	Outline application for the development of approx 2,550 no. dwellings including the construction of a new access from Ladybridge Roundabout, Milk Lane and completion of Maurepas Way access, a local centre (comprising retail, community building, land for health care, land for elderly care) public house, land for 2 primary schools, land for a nursery, land for employment uses, associated amenity space along with substantial green infrastructure, SuDS, land for allotments, main pumping station, land for cemetery, restoration of River Wallington, together with landscape structure planting (Matters for Approval Access only). Full planning application for the development of Phase 1 comprising 194 no. dwellings, internal roads, garages, driveways, pathways,	Directly adjacent to Order Limits	Granted part Online, part Full (18/04/2012) Three phases complete, one four still under construction with others not yet under construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided and community buildings apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of residential dwellings and associated infrastructure may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types for are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings and associated infrastructure may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		boundary treatment, substation, pedestrian/cycle ways, including to Maurepas Way, associated parking spaces, flood attenuation ponds, temporary play provision, associated amenity space and hard and soft landscape works. Full planning for engineering operations associated with infrastructure requirements and service provision for the detailed Phase 1 application, the temporary closure of Havant Footpath No 11 and Southwick and Widley Footpath No 30, with suitable alternative route provided (approved 18/04/2012).								resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings and associated infrastructure.	
44	Phase 2, Dukes Meadow, Hambledon Road, Waterlooville (APP/10/006 10 and 10/02353/RE M)	Second Phase of Residential Development (121 Dwellings) along with 7 live/work units and 326 square metres of A1/A2/A3 floorspace, mixed use including retail, food and drink, financial / professional and health, open space / recreation purposes and	North-eastern boundary directly adjacent to the Order Limits	Granted Full (24/12/2010) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline	n/a	No	Yes	n/a	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the mixed land use may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		the construction of two accesses from Hambledon Road		receptors in the 2019 ES.					recycling facilities are provided and commercial activities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the mixed land use.	
45	Phase 3 and 4, Land at Old Park Farm, Hambledon Road, Waterlooville / Dukes Meadow, Hambledon Road, Denmead, Hampshire (APP/12/000 08 and 11/03014/RE M) (amended by APP/12/0124	Third and Fourth phase of residential development – 219 units, 17 live work units, employment, mixed use including retail, food and drink, financial / professional and health, open space / recreational purpose and the construction of two accesses from Hambledon Road.	Adjacent to Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (09/07/2013) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided and commercial activities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the mixed land use may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the mixed land use.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	3 & 12/02502/FUL)								rise to a significant effect.		
46	Berewood Phase 1, Hambledon Road, Denmead (14/02872/REM)	104 units of private rented accommodation	0.13 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Reserve Matters (24/03/2015) Under construction Residential development complete, Local Centre not yet started. Several NMA applications have been submitted.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
47	Land at Old Park Farm, Wimpey Site, Hambledon Road, Denmead (13/02843/FUL)	103 dwellings and associated infrastructure	0.36 km to south west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (31/07/2015) Under construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
48	Berewood Phase 2 Development Site, London Road, Purbrook (APP/14/00032)	Reserved matters application for 246 residential dwellings The phasing timetable is subject to the market sales rate, but is anticipated to be between three and four years to complete the development.	Eastern edge of site adjacent to Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (24/06/2014) Under construction Residential Construction complete	Tier 1	Yes	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
49	Land at junction of Main Avenue and Hambledon Road, Dukes Meadow Development Site, Waterlooville (APP/14/008 54)	Erection of extra care accommodation with 48 units and associated communal facilities, access, car parking and landscaping.	Northern boundary of site directly adjacent to the Order Limits	Granted Full (19/01/2015) Construction complete – these sites were considered as baseline receptors in the 2019 ES.	n/a	No	Yes		Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided and commercial activities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Construction is complete, therefore no significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the care accommodation may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the care accommodation.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
50	Berewood Phase 2 Development Site, London Road, Purbrook (APP/16/012 11 and 16/03168/REM)	Reserved Matters application for Phase of the Town Park	Southern part of site adjacent (0.07 km) to Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (22/07/2014) Construction not yet started Under Construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated by the park, and material resource consumption will be required only for minor repair and maintenance works.	The construction of the town park may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the town park may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the town park.	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
51	Berewood Phase 3A, East of Newlands Avenue, Waterlooville (16/02621/RE M)	Reserved Matters application for 296 dwellings	0.36 km west of the Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (10/01/2017) Under construction Largely Constructed	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
52	Berewood Phase 13A, Development Land to the West of Newlands Avenue, Waterlooville, Hampshire (17/01772/REM)	Reserved Matters application for 73 dwellings	0.26 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (28/02/2018) Under construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
53	Berewood Phase 9b, West of Marrelsmoor Avenue, Waterlooville, Hampshire (17/02957/REM)	Reserved Matters application for 75 dwellings	0.03 km west of the Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (28/02/2018) Under construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
54	Berewood Phase 10a, South of Marrelsmoor Avenue, Waterlooville, Hampshire (17/02956/REM)	Reserved Matters application for 43 dwellings	0.03 km west of the Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (20/12/2018) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
55	Berewood Phase 9a, West of Marrelsmoor Avenue, Waterlooville, Hampshire 18/01351/RE M	Reserved Matters application for 104 dwellings	0.78 km west of the Order Limits	To be decided (determination expired 13/09/2018) Granted Full (26/02/20) Largely constructed	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
56	Berewood E2, Plot 1, Houghton Avenue, Waterlooville, Hampshire (18/01581/REM)	Reserved Matters application for 10,177 sqm of B1/B2/B8 floorspace	0.35 km south-west of the Order Limits	Granted Reserved Matters (11/12/2018) Under construction	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided and the industrial activities apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not	The construction of the industrial units may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the industrial units may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of commercial or industrial waste, but no	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the industrial units.	
57	Locks Farm, Botley Road, Bishops Waltham, Hampshire (18/01337/FUL)	11.8 km to north west of Order Limits	11.8 km to north west of Order Limits	Application refused	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided and the generation facility applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource	The construction of the generation facility may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Where construction materials are similar in nature, it is considered that they are not required in excessive quantities to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the facility may increase the sensitivity	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	of landfill capacity through the generation of industrial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the facility.	
58	Portsmouth City Centre Highway Network incorporating parts of Mile End Road, Church Street, Commercial Road Marketway, Charlotte Street, Cascades Approach, Hope Street, Flathouse Road	Modification of existing road network around the A3 southwards from the junction with Princess Royal Way to the junction with Unicorn Road, including construction of a new link road between Flathouse Road and the A3 south of Herbert Street; associated site clearance, junction works, bus and cycle routes and necessary highway alterations, with landscaping, street furniture, road signage, markings and lighting. Demolition of Pickfords Vanguard Ltd, Flathouse	2.10 km north-west of the Order Limits (at closest point)	To be decided (determination period expired (07/03/2018)) Withdrawn (04/03/22)	Tier-1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated for waste given the nature of the development (road infrastructure).	The construction of the road infrastructure may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types, where similar, are not considered to be required in excessive quantities to negate any significant cumulative effects.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	(17/02066/CS 3)	Read, PO1 4QJ. Partial demolition and reconfiguration of the western edge of Morrisons Supermarket, Victory Retail Park, Flathouse Road, PO1 4QP. Repositioning of Clarence Street service yard access gate to Sainsbury's Supermarket, 315 Commercial Road, PO1 4BS.							Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	No significant quantities of operational waste are considered to arise from the road infrastructure or the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the road infrastructure.	
59	Welborne Land North of Fareham, Fareham (P/17/0266/O A)	New Community of Up To 6000 Dwellings and various other uses	8.33 km west of the Order Limits (at closest point)	Granted – Outline (30/09/21) Construction not yet started	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
60	Site of Fawley Power Station (Fawley Waterside) (17/11559)	Mixed use redevelopment of redundant power station.	18.15 km west of the Order Limits (at closest point)	EIA Scoping submitted and opinion received from NFDC, NFNPA and MMO. Public consultation x2 – most recent July 2018 Outline application planned for	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling	The construction of mixed development may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				submission in Autumn 2018. Outline application submitted May 2019. (ref. 19/10581) Awaiting decision.					facilities are provided and the Waste Hierarchy applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Operational waste generated by the mixed development may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal. commercial or industrial waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the mixed development.	
61	Number not used.										
62	North Portsea Island Coastal Flood Defence Scheme, Eastern Road and Kendall’s Wharf (19/00706/FUL)	Phase 4 of the North Portsea Island Coastal Flood Defence Scheme is a combination of two distinct sections: Kendall’s Wharf and Eastern Road. The full length of the frontage is 2,4 km (300 m for Kendall’s Wharf and 2,1	Southern boundary of site adjacent to Order Limits	Emerging - contract out for tender. Documentation for bidders was due for submission by 29/06/18	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the flood defences may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		<p>km for Eastern Road). The sea defences are being raised to +4,8 m AOD along the frontage to accommodate a 1 in 500 Standard of Protection (SOP). The road raising and steel sheet piles of Kendall’s Wharf works will be raised to a lower level as set back from the coast.</p> <p>The Kendall’s Wharf defences tie in with Anchorage park embankment defences (constructed in 2016) in the North and are set landward of Kendall’s Wharf aggregates, who are responsible for their own flood protection. The first c. 150 m of sea defence will be a raised earth embankment with a 3 m crest and continuation of the 2 m wide coastal path. This will tie into an area of road raising landward of Kendall’s Wharf. South of the road will be a 150 m steel sheet pile wall which will tie into the coastal defences at Eastern Road.</p>		<p>Kendalls Wharf - Target commencement 09/2019. Target completion 04/2020.</p> <p>Eastern Road seawall – Target commencement 04/2020. Target completion 10/2022.</p> <p>Phase 4 /2022 Pre-application consultation undertaken in November 2018</p> <p>Permission Granted (25/07/19)</p> <p>Under Construction</p>					<p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from flood defences, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.</p>	<p>Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the flood defence and the proposed development and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated.</p> <p>Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material. based on the construction materials (embankment and steel), no cumulative impact with specialist rock is anticipated.</p>	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		<p>The scope of the works for Eastern Road, at summary level, comprises of the construction of a reinforced seawall, including sheet pile and bearing pile installation with local realignment. Part of this will be an encasement and part new sea wall with a stepped revetment. The construction of replacement slipways and access steps will also be required.</p> <p>Other aspects of phase 4 that will /could be involved and should be considered by the contractor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — some minor elements of contractor led design, — additional ground /site investigation, — services searches and trial pitting to locate services, — installation of flood boards /gates, — responding to any emergency failures to other parts of PCC’s coastal defence related 									

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		assets for which PCC may call on the contractor’s services, — site clearance, — demolition and removal of 150 m of existing seawall in the southern section of the site and creation of a high roost site /bird island, — reconstruction of the coastal path, — landscape works.									
63	Southampton to London Pipeline project DCO	Replacement of 90 km aviation fuel pipeline that runs from Fawley Refinery to West London Terminal Storage facility at Hounslow Works to install and commission the pipeline programmed to be completed early 2023 or earlier if possible.	13.45 km to north-west of the Order Limits at closest point	Application submitted to PINS in May 2019, application accepted for examination in June 2019. Granted by Secretary of State (07/10/20) Under Construction.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated by the pipeline, and material resource	The construction of the pipeline may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. No significant operational waste generation is anticipated from the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									consumption will be required only for minor repair and maintenance works.	pipeline or Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the pipeline.	
64	A27 Arundel Bypass DCO	A new dual carriageway bypass linking together the 2 existing sections of the road to replace the existing single carriageway road.	28.21 km east of the Order Limits at closest point	Preferred route announced May 2018 (Option 5a). New evidence on scheme has emerged (inc updated traffic modelling) on Options 1 and 3 since. Further non-statutory public consultation on Options 1, 3 and 5a planned for Spring 2019. Scheme likely to be	Tier 3				<p>No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.</p> <p>No significant operational effects anticipated for waste given the nature of the development (road infrastructure).</p> <p>Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to</p>	<p>The construction of the road infrastructure may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy.</p> <p>Construction material types, where similar, are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>No significant quantities of operational waste are considered to arise from the road infrastructure or the Proposed</p>	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				submitted in Q4 of 2019.					rise to a significant effect.	Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the road infrastructure.	
65	Norths Hill, Portsmouth, PO6 3RU (18/01646/FUL)	Construction of 20MW embedded Short Term Operating (STOR) generating plant building; auxillary equipment; DNO substation associated works; and a new wooden maintenance shed.	2.7 km to west of the Order Limits	Granted Full (14/12/2018). Construction not started. Under construction .	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided and the generation plant applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered	The construction of the generation plant may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types, where similar, are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the generation plant may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the generation plant.	
66	Fraser Range Fort, Cumberland Road, Southsea (19/00420/FUL)	Part demolition and redevelopment of the site. Including the conversion of three existing structures and construction of new buildings (108 apartments and 26 houses), associated access, parking and landscaping works and construction of new seawall flood defences. Part demolition, redevelopment and conversion of three existing structures and construction of new buildings to create 116 apartments and 18 houses, construction of new sea wall flood defences and walkway (to include removal and	Adjacent to Eastern boundary of Order Limits	To be decided (determination expired 31/07/2019) Amended description, amended plans and documentation submitted to PCC on 6th January 2023 and 3rd February 2023. Awaiting decision	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		reinstatement of tank traps), access road, parking and landscaping works (to include removal and reinstatement of tank traps), access road, parking and landscaping works (amended description, amended plans (06-01-23) and documentation (03-02-23) received)							sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
67	Land South of Lovedean Electricity Substation, Broadway Lane, Lovedean, Waterlooville 57524/001	Installation of two energy storage systems and associated infrastructure with a total capacity of 49.95MW	Within the Order Limits	Permission granted on 17/04//2018, Planning Permission quashed at judicial review on 07/09/2018. New Scoping Opinion requested by applicant on 01/11/2018. EHDC deemed no EIA required.	Tier 1	Yes	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the energy storage systems will generate minimal waste and applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material	The construction of the energy storage systems may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types, where similar, are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				SoS stated that no EIA is required in July 2019.					resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	generation plant may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the energy storage systems.	
68	Land to the south of Old Mill Lane and east/south-east of The Haven, Denmead 19/01071/FUL	Pivot Power considering site for battery storage plant project	Within the Order Limits	Application withdrawn (24/01/20) ¹	n/a	Yes	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based	The construction of the battery storage plant may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types, where similar, are	No

¹ The application for development number 68 (Land to the south of Old Mill Lane and east/south-east of The Haven, Denmead 19/01071/F3UL) was withdrawn on the 2/07/2019. As the application could be re-submitted in the future, for the purpose of the cumulative effects assessment, it has been assessed.

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									on the assumption that the battery storage plant will generate minimal waste and applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the battery storage plant may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the battery storage plant.	
69	36 Mill Road Denmead PO7 6PA (16/01861/FUL)	Proposed land to rear of 32-36 MILL ROAD FOR 3 Houses of 1 No. 3 Bed House and 2 No. 2 Bed Houses	0.03 km to west of the Order Limits	Application permitted		No	Yes		No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									<p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.</p>	
70	Lovedean Electricity Station, Broadway Lane, Lovedean, Waterlooville, PO8 0SJ (32642/003)	Installation of 30m high Telecommunication Mast 0.6 m dish and 0.6 m antenna for network connections between electricity substations.	Within the Order Limits	Granted (15/10/19)		Yes	Yes		No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the telecommunications equipment and associated infrastructure may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that no waste will be generated from the telecommunications equipment, and material resource consumption will be required only for minor repair and maintenance works.	Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. No significant operational waste generation is anticipated by the telecommunications equipment or Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the telecommunications equipment	
74	Land South of, Chalton Lane, Clanfield, Waterlooville (28463/002)	207 dwellings and provision of open space, sports pitches, bowling green, pavilion and allotments, with associated access, parking, access roads, footpaths/cycle paths, landscaping and works, with demolition of existing buildings and structures	3.65 km to north-east of the Order Limits	Permitted 2015		No	Yes		No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the residential dwellings and associated infrastructure may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		(as amended by plans received 30 September 2014)							No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings and associated infrastructure may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity through the generation of municipal waste, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
72	Yew Tree Cottage, Eastland Gate, Lovedean, Waterlooville, PO8 0SR	Change of use of agricultural fields to private equestrian paddocks, creation of associated manege and horse walker	0.43 km to East of the Order Limits	Granted (31/08/17)		No	Yes		No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and	The construction of the manege may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	(26982/003)								<p>application of the Waste Hierarchy.</p> <p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the Waste Hierarchy is applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the menage may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the menage.</p>	
73	England Coast Path – Portsmouth to South Hayling	Natural England’s proposals to the Secretary of State under section 51 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949 for improved access along the coast of Hampshire between Portsmouth and South Hayling	Within the Order Limits	19th July 2017, Natural England submitted a report to the Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs	Tier 3			Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	Construction works associated with access improvements may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				setting out the proposals for improved access to the coast between Portsmouth and South Hayling Granted - The remaining length of the Gosport to Portsmouth stretch is approved and the stretch is now at stage 5. (02/03/22)					No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the Waste Hierarchy is applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the coastal access is considered unlikely to increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the coastal access.	
74	Southsea Seafront from Long Curtain Moat in the West to Eastney Marine Barracks in the East	Flood and coastal erosion management scheme comprising a combination of vertical sea wall, raising and realignment of the promenade, construction of stepped revetment, rock armour revetments and groynes, secondary defence walls	Within the Order Limits	To be decided (Application submitted 16/07/2019, determination period expires 06/11/2019)	Tier 1	Yes	Yes	Yes – construction anticipate to commence early 2020 until 2026.	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy.	The construction of the quay wall may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy and no significant	Yes

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	(19/01097/FUL)	and bunds, beach widening and management, and all associated works, highway alterations, removal of trees and landscaping. Scheme includes the removal and repositioning of 34 Grade II Listed lamp columns, 3 Grade II Listed shelters and 6. Grade II Listed monuments, works affecting the Grade II Listed South Parade Pier, regrading and works to the Grade II Listed Southsea Common and works to the Grade I Listed Naval Memorial. The proposal constitutes EIA development.		Granted (05/12/19)					No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from coastal defences, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.	<p>cumulative effects are anticipated.</p> <p>Construction material types may require similar materials, specifically in relation to rock armouring of the quay wall and marine cable route of the Proposed Development. At this time, the availability of information on the source of rock armour materials required for the ‘other coastal developments’ is not sufficient to permit an assessment of cumulative impacts. This does not change the status of the post-mitigation significant effect described in this chapter.</p> <p>Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the coastal defence and the proposed development and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock</p>	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										material. At this time, the availability of information on the source of rock armour materials required for the ‘other coastal developments’ is not sufficient to permit an assessment of cumulative impacts. This does not change the status of the post-mitigation significant effect described in this chapter.	
COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS CONSIDERED IN THE ES ADDENDUM (DOCUMENT REFERENCE 7.8.1.15):											
3a	Development Land East of Horndean, Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, Waterlooville (55562/005)	Outline planning application with all matters reserved, except the means of access to the highway network (junction arrangements) and associated highway improvements, for the demolition of existing buildings and the residential-led (C3) mixed-use development of the site with up to 800 dwellings, up to 2ha of employment land (uses B1 and B2), a Local Centre (including: local retail (food and non-food	2.52 km east of the Order Limits	Decision Pending. Outline Permission Granted (23/12/21) New application submitted by Bloor Homes, follows on from scoping opinion recorded under 55562/004.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided, and	The construction of residential dwellings and associated community buildings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		A1); financial and professional services (A2); restaurants, cafés, and drinking establishments (A3 and A4), hot food takeaways (A5), together with a primary school (D1) and community facilities (D2)), informal and formal open space, allotments, and acoustic bunds, together with associated drainage, utilities and all other associated and necessary infrastructure		If approved, assume this Scheme will be built out in place of existing consented scheme (55562/001)					commercial and community buildings apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings, commercial and community buildings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
3b	Development Land East of Horndean, Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, Waterlooville (55562/006)	Outline Application— Development for up to 85 age-restricted dwellings (aged 55 years and above) with associated infrastructure, formal and informal open space and access from Rowlands Castle Road following	3.21 km east	Application withdrawn (06/09/22) Decision Pending. Part of development site located to	Tier-1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		demolition of all buildings and removal of hardstanding (Access to be considered in detail)		the north and west of Rowlands Castle Road New application submitted by Bloor Homes, follows on from scoping opinion recorded under 55562/004. If approved, assume this scheme will be built out in place of existing consented scheme (55562/004)					Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
3c	Development Land East of Horndean,	Outline application – Development of a care village comprising a 60-	3.35 km East	Decision Pending.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible	No significant effects anticipated given that planning	The construction of apartments may coincide with construction work on	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, Waterlooville (55562/007)	bed care home, a village care centre (VCC) incorporating up to 60 no. care-assisted living apartments and up to 60 no. extra care units comprising bungalows and apartments, with associated parking, landscaping and sustainable drainage (with all matters reserved).		<p>Granted Outline (17/02/21)</p> <p>Part of development site located to the north and west of Rowlands Castle Road</p> <p>New application submitted by Bloor Homes, follows on from scoping opinion recorded under 55562/004.</p> <p>If approved, assume this scheme will be built out in place of existing consented scheme (55562/001)</p>				construction overlap	<p>approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction.</p> <p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy.</p> <p>Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the apartments may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development.</p> <p>Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not</p>	

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										considered to have a cumulative impact on the apartments.	
3d	Development Land East of Horndean, Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, Waterlooville (55562/008)	Outline planning application for the development of a 60-bed care home and up to 50 no. extra care bungalows and apartments with associated parking, landscaping and sustainable drainage (with all matters reserved).	3.35 km East	Granted Outline (17/02/21) Decision Pending. Part of development site located to the north and west of Rowlands Castle Road New application submitted by Bloor Homes, follows on from scoping opinion recorded under 55562/004. If approved, assume this scheme will be built out in place of	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	The construction of the care home may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the care home may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
				existing consented scheme (55562/001)						Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the care home.	
62 b	North Portsea Island Coastal Flood Defence Scheme, Eastern Road and Kendall’s Wharf (19/00706/FUL – Phase 4a, Granted conditional permission 25/07/2019) (19/01368/FUL – Phase 4b, Granted Full 20/02/2020)	Phase 4 of the North Portsea Island Coastal Flood Defence Scheme is a combination of two distinct sections: Kendall’s Wharf and Eastern Road. The full length of the frontage is 2,4 km (300 m for Kendall’s Wharf and 2,1 km for Eastern Road). The sea defences are being raised to +4,8 m AOD along the frontage to accommodate a 1 in 500 Standard of Protection (SOP). The road raising and steel sheet piles of Kendall’s Wharf works will be raised to a lower level as set back from the coast. The Kendall’s Wharf defences tie in with Anchorage park embankment defences	Southern boundary of site adjacent to Order Limits	Emerging - contract out for tender. Documentation for bidders was due for submission by 29/06/18 Kendall’s Wharf - Target commencement 09/2019. Target completion 04/2020. Eastern Road seawall – Target commencement 04/2020. Target	Tier 1	No	Yes	Potential overlap of construction phase	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that minimal waste will be generated from flood defences, and material resource consumption is considered to comprise minor repair and maintenance works.	The construction of the flood defences may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generation is considered to be minimal from the flood defence and the proposed development and no significant cumulative effects are anticipated. Operational material	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		<p>(constructed in 2016) in the North and are set landward of Kendall’s Wharf aggregates, who are responsible for their own flood protection. The first c. 150 m of sea defence will be a raised earth embankment with a 3 m crest and continuation of the 2 m wide coastal path. This will tie into an area of road raising landward of Kendall’s Wharf. South of the road will be a 150 m steel sheet pile wall which will tie into the coastal defences at Eastern Road.</p> <p>The scope of the works for Eastern Road, at summary level, comprises of the construction of a reinforced seawall, including sheet pile and bearing pile installation with local realignment. Part of this will be an encasement and part new sea wall with a stepped revetment. The construction of replacement slipways</p>		<p>completion 10/2022.</p> <p>Phase 4 /2022 Pre-application consultation undertaken in November 2018</p> <p>Under Construction</p> <p>Phase 4b will be completed in Spring 2023 as construction work must pause over winter for migratory birds.</p>						resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material. Based on the construction materials for the Coastal Flood Defence Scheme (embankment and steel), no cumulative impact with specialist rock is anticipated.	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		and access steps will also be required.									
76	3 London Road, Purbrook, Waterlooville (APP/20/00212)	Proposed change of use of site to vehicle washing facility (sui generis)	Within the Order Limits	Decision Pending (Statutory expiry date 06/05/2020)	Tier 1	Yes	Yes	Unknown but potential for overlap	No significant effects anticipated as the proposed change of use is unlikely to give rise to sufficient resource consumption or waste generation which would result in a significant effect. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the Waste Hierarchy is applied. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	n/a	No
77	Town Park at the MDA Berewood Development	Installation of 1 no. 5m column with 4 no. HD	0.04 km west of Order Limits	Granted Full (04/05/2020)	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but potential for overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction. The scale and	n/a	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	Site, London Road, Waterlooville (APP/20/00198)	CCTV bullet cameras (type AW1502/5).							nature of the column construction is not expected to require significant primary material resources or generate significant quantities of waste. No significant operational effects are anticipated from the operation of the CCTV camera column.		
78	St James Hospital, Locksway Road, Southsea, PO4 8LD (20/00204/LB C & 20/00204/FUL)	Redevelopment of former St James’ Hospital comprising the conversion of listed buildings to provide 146 dwellings and associated works, including demolition, erection of new 2-storey housing and 3-storey apartments to provide 84 dwellings and associated works. Redevelopment of former St James’ Hospital comprising the conversion of listed buildings and listed	0.2 km west of Order Limits	Decision Pending. Application validated 26/02/2020. Determination period expired 27/05/2020 Planning Appeal form submitted 12/07/2022	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material	The construction of the dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		Chapel to provide 151 dwellings and associated works including demolition of extensions and ancillary buildings, construction of new 2 and 3 storey housing to provide 58 dwellings, retention of cricket pitch, club house and changing rooms, provision of car parking, associated landscaping and other works (phased development) (Amended Scheme)							resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the dwellings.	
79	Portsmouth College, Tangier Road, Portsmouth, PO3 6PZ (20/00241/FUL)	Construction of two storey building (Class D1) with associated soft and hard landscaping	0.06 km west of Order Limits	Granted (30/09/21) Decision Pending- Application validated 19/02/2020- Determination Period expires 22/05/2020	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but potential for overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects	The construction of the building may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however, it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any	No

'Other Development' Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for 'other development' and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the building may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the building.	
80	Waterlooville Swimming Pool, Waterberry Drive, Waterlooville, PO7 7UW	Hybrid Application: Full planning application for reconfiguration of existing ground car park and development of single storey deck car park. Outline planning application for future	0.02 km west of Order Limit	Granted (20/01/20) Decision Pending Application Validated 24/03/2020.	Tier 1	No	Yes	Unknown but potential for overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the	The construction of the car park may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however, it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	(APP/20/00257)	extensions on current footprint of overflow car park at Waterloo Leisure Centre with access and layout to be considered with all other matters reserved.		Determination Period expires 23/06/2020					Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated given that maintenance and waste generation of a car park would give rise to minimal material resource consumption or waste generation.	and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the car park and material resources required for maintenance and upkeep is considered to be negligible.	
COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS CONSIDERED IN THE 2023 SECRETARY OF STATE REQUEST (DOCUMENT REFERENCE 7.8.3.12):											
3e	55562/013 - Development Land East of Horndean, Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, Waterloo	Residential development of 82 dwellings, associated parking, access, bin and cycle stores, electricity substation, pump station, public open space, landscaping, suds/drainage and other works following demolition of existing structures.	3.7km	Registered	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided and	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									community buildings apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
81	22/01243/CS 3, Land Bound By Hope Street & Church Street Roundabout To The North, Commercial Road (A3) & Lake Road To The East, Charlotte Street To The South And	Outline planning application for demolition of existing buildings and the construction of a phased development of up to 2,300 residential units (Use Class C3), up to 10,000sqm non-residential uses (Use Classes E, F1 and F2), and associated servicing facilities, parking, plant space, open space (including a public park), landscaping, access and	3.4km	Awaiting Decision	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated, with waste screened out the of EIA. The development is anticipated to generate approximately 15,000 tones demolition material, some of which will be reused on-site e.g. crushed material for	The construction of residential dwellings and associated infrastructure may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types for are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
	Hope Street To The West	highways works. Phase 1 to comprise residential units and non-residential uses with full details of access, layout, scale and appearance provided, with landscaping reserved. Subsequent phases to comprise residential units and non-residential uses with details of strategic means of access provided with all other matters reserved							hardstanding and site access roads. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided and non-residential buildings apply the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials may be similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwellings and associated infrastructure may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings and non-residential uses.	
82	22/00024/OU T, Tipner Interchange Tipner Lane	Outline application, with all matters reserved, for the construction of a multi-storey Transport Hub (up to 28.5m above existing ground level) incorporating a park and ride facility and ancillary uses (up to 840sqm), with	2.1km	Outline Permission Granted on 06/07/22	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the	The construction of the car park may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however, it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		access from Junction 1 on the M275. The proposal constitutes EIA development							Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated given that maintenance and waste generation of a car park would give rise to minimal material resource consumption or waste generation.	Hierarchy. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the car park and material resources required for maintenance and upkeep is considered to be negligible.	
83	20/00407/OU T, Post Office Slindon Street	Outline application with all matters reserved except access and scale for the construction of a building up to 19-storeys/62m for circa 176 nos. dwellings (Class C3); parking and servicing with access from Lower Church Path; podium level open space and associated works following demolition and removal of existing buildings and structures (amended description and drawings)	2.8km	Awaiting Decision	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. However, where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									rise to a significant effect.	Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
84	20/01464/FU L, 12-28 Arundel Street	Construction of part 7/part 21/part 28-storey building (c.87 metres) to form a purpose built student halls of residence (Class C1) including ground floor commercial unit(s) (Class E); associated facilities and public realm works following demolition of existing buildings	2.8km	Permission Granted on 18/11/21	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that municipal recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not	The construction of residential dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. However, where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the residential dwelling may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the residential dwellings.	
85	21/00232/FUL, Queen Alexandra Hospital	Construction of four storey multi-storey car park with elevated link bridge for benefit of hospital and associated landscaping.	2.1km	Granted Permission on 01/04/21	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated given that maintenance and waste generation of a car	The construction of the car park may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however, it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									park would give rise to minimal material resource consumption or waste generation.	Operational waste generated by the car park and material resources required for maintenance and upkeep is considered to be negligible.	
86	Land west of, Hulbert Road, APP/20/00441	Outline permission for 100 dwellings	1.61km	Granted on 02/02/23	1			Unknown but possible construction overlap	<p>No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction.</p> <p>No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.</p>	<p>The construction of the dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects.</p> <p>Operational waste generated by the dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from</p>	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
										the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the dwellings.	
87	Havant Thicket, adjacent to Sir George Staunton Country Park, Reservoir and Pipe Line, Middle Park Way, APP/20/0099 0 East Hants Ref: 51680/001	Hybrid application seeking: 1) Full Planning permission for Development of a reservoir for raw water storage, A pumped storage reservoir, with the minimum required total storage capacity of 8,700 million litres (MI), to support the planned bulk supply transfer of at least 21MI/d in extreme (currently defined as 1:200 year) drought conditions; Construction of an earth embankment adjacent to Staunton Country Park ; Construction of an overflow discharge/spillway at the south-western side of the reservoir and associated works; Construction of a new junction on the B2149 Manor Lodge Road and a new junction on Swanmore Road.	4.5km	Granted on 15/10/21 Under Construction	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated during construction given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that no waste will be generated from the reservoir, and material resource consumption will be required only for minor repair and maintenance works.	The construction of the Reservoir may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Where construction materials are similar in nature, it is considered that they are not required in excessive quantities to negate any significant cumulative effects. No significant operational waste generation is anticipated by the reservoir or Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		<p>Provision of viewing areas on the southern embankment and western edge of the reservoir. 2) Outline application for (matters to be considered outlined in Table 2.2 in the submitted Development Specification) control house partially incorporated within landscaped earth mounding adjacent to the south west embankment; together with provision of other earth embankments.</p> <p>Construction of a visitor centre / cafe, with storage areas and welfare facilities to the northwest of the reservoir to be used for recreational and education purposes; Provision of picnic area(s) and children's play area(s). Access routes from both junctions to the visitor car park; visitor car park comprising 193 car parking spaces and between 70 and 75 overflow spaces plus spaces for staff, coach/minibus and</p>								cumulative impact on the reservoir.	

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		disabled drivers sited to the north west of the reservoir. Creation of a permanent wetland on the northern side of the reservoir and construction of bird watching hide/screen(s); recreational facilities for public amenity. Provision of perimeter tracks and a network of bridleways, cycle paths and footpaths; Construction of a slipway on the western bank of the reservoir for operational use only and a small section of the proposed pipeline (210m).									
88	22/00447/FUL - Denmead Farm Edneys Lane Denmead Waterlooville Hampshire PO7 6JN East Hants Ref: 58038/003	Establishment of solar farm and battery storage facility with supporting development, on 4 separate parcels of agricultural land located to the north, south and west of the National Grid Lovedean substation and which also straddles the district boundary between Winchester City Council and East Hampshire District Council (EIA Development).	975m	Undetermined	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that the solar farm and battery storage plant will generate	The construction of the solar farm and battery storage plant may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development, however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types, where similar, are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
									minimal waste and applies the Waste Hierarchy. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give rise to a significant effect.	significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the solar farm and battery storage plant may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the solar farm and battery storage plant.	
89	Land at Forty Acres Farm, Havant Road, APP/21/0060 5 Original Application is APP/18/0045 0 (Not on Previous List)	Erection of additional 34 dwellings on existing development site (new site total of 147 dwellings) Erection of 320 dwellings (including a 30% provision of affordable homes), 66 bed care home, provision of public open space, habitat mitigation zone, allotments, closure of	1.3km	Granted on 23/12/21 and under construction Approved 17/01/20	1	No	Yes	Unknown but possible construction overlap	No significant effects anticipated given that planning approval will require consideration of primary resource consumption and application of the Waste Hierarchy during construction. No significant operational effects anticipated based on the assumption that recycling	The construction of the dwellings may coincide with construction work on the Proposed Development; however it is assumed that both developments will utilise best practice construction techniques and apply the Waste Hierarchy. Construction material types are considered to be sufficiently different to negate any significant cumulative effects. Where	No

‘Other Development’ Details						Stage 1		Stage 2			
ID	Application Name and Reference	Applicant for ‘other development’ and brief description	Distance from project	Status	Tier	Within ZOI?	Progress to Stage 2?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and Nature of development likely to have a significant effect?	Other Factors	Progress to Stage 3/4?
		existing access and creation of 2 new access points and associated infrastructure following demolition of existing buildings (approved 17.1.2020). Request to discharge condition nos 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 15, 16, 21 and 23 (Recd 23.1.2020). Request to discharge condition No. 29 (received 3.9.2020). Request to discharge condition No/s 12, 14, 25 and 28 (received 16.06.2021). Request to discharge condition No. 18 (received 05/07/2021).Request to discharge condition nos 4 & 8 (received 3.8.21). Request to discharge conditions 3 (resubmission) & 13 (received 16.9.21). Request to discharge condition 28 (received 21/02/2022). Request to discharge condition 4 (received 17/03/2022).Request to discharge condition 26 (20.07.22). Land at Forty Acres Farm, Havant Road, Havant							facilities are provided. Material resource consumption during operation would not be considered sufficient to give to rise to a significant effect.	construction materials are similar, they are not considered to be required in quantities sufficient to result in significant cumulative effects. Operational waste generated by the dwellings may increase the sensitivity of landfill capacity, but no significant waste generation is anticipated from the Proposed Development. Operational material resource consumption from the Proposed Development is minimal with the exception of specialist rock material which is not considered to have a cumulative impact on the dwellings.	

REFERENCES

Planning Inspectorate. (2016). Advice note six: Preparation and submission of application documents.

Planning Inspectorate. (2019). Advice note seventeen: Cumulative effects assessment relevant to nationally significant infrastructure.

